| 1 | | |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, | CASE NO. CR15-5229BHS |
| 9 | Plaintiff, | ORDER |
| 10 | v. THOMAS D. STEWART, | |
| 11 | Defendant. | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | and Pretrial Motions Date. The Court, having considered the unopposed motion and the Defendant's speedy trial waiver, makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law: | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | _ | |
| 17 | research and prepare and file pretrial motions. | |
| 18 | 2. The defense needs additional time to explore all relevant issues and defenses | |
| 19 | applicable to the case, which would make it unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for | |
| 20 | pretrial proceedings or for trial itself within the time limits established by the Speedy Trial Act | |
| 21 | and currently set for this case. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii). | |
| 22 | | |

| 1 | 3. Taking into account the exercise of due diligence, a continuance is necessary to allow | |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 2 | the defendant the reasonable time for effective preparation his defense, to explore resolution of | |
| 3 | this case before trial and to ensure continuity of defense counsel. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). | |
| 4 | 4. Proceeding to trial absent adequate time for the defense to prepare would result in a | |
| 5 | miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(i). | |
| 6 | 5. The ends of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests of | |
| 7 | the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B). | |
| 8 | 6. Defendant waived speedy trial through December 30, 2015. | |
| | NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED | |
| 9 | That the trial date is continued from August 18, 2015 to October 6, 2015 at 9:00 a.m.; | |
| 10 | Pretrial Conference is set for September 28, 2015 at 2:30 p.m. Pretrial motions are due | |
| 11 | September 2, 2015. The resulting period of delay from July 31, 2015 to October 6, 2015 is | |
| 12 | hereby excluded for speedy trial purposes under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B) | |
| 13 | Dated this 12 th day of August, 2015. | |
| 14 | $\langle AC \rangle$ | |
| 15 | Oby \ Soute | |
| 16 | BENJAMIN H. SETTLE United States District Judge | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| | | |